

2808

FORM LETTERS A  
201-250

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Susan Duncan [proudgrandmmy@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Susan Duncan  
515 Pershing Ave  
Lebanon, PA 17042-6260

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Carol Gelfand [carolgelfand@mac.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr and Mrs Carol Gelfand  
 478 Byrlee Dr  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15237-3718  
 (412) 367-1921

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Charles Leiden [cleiden@atlanticbb.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Charles Leiden  
 306 Coleridge Ave  
 Altoona, PA 16602-3112  
 (814) 946-9291

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Winona Wise [winonawise@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Winona Wise  
 420 Avon Pl  
 Philadelphia, PA 19116-3218  
 (215) 677-5901

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REGD

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kelsey Eggert [eggert522@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Kelsey Eggert  
 Arcadia University  
 450 S Easton Rd  
 Glenside, PA 19038-3215

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Robert Donlen [bdonlen@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Robert Donlen  
 80 Terrace Rd  
 Levittown, PA 19056-1553  
 (215) 943-3462

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Andrea Carman [andreacarman@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Andrea Carman  
 700 Ridge Dr  
 Douglassville, PA 19518-1246

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Michael Zuckerman [mzuckerm@history.upenn.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Michael Zuckerman  
 3207 Winter St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19104-2720  
 (215) 387-7227

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION



**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Cynthia Maize [mcinny84@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Cynthia Maize  
 903 Thomas 84 Rd  
 Eighty Four, PA 15330-2823

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Lois Knepp [whitecloud49@atlanticbb.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:48 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr and Mrs Lois Knepp  
 Sand Cherry Lane  
 Bigler, PA 16825

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sandy Kemp [silky883@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:48 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Sandy Kemp  
68 Fiddler Dr  
New Oxford, PA 17350-8874

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Rebecca Condict [rcondict@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:48 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Rebecca Condict  
 537 Shoemaker Rd  
 Elkins Park, PA 19027-2459

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Elaine Lopata [eml8910@msn.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:48 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Elaine Lopata  
 2839 Mary St  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15203-2319  
 (412) 431-7340

RECEIVED

DEC 28 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of rd leonard [bob\_inrd@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:22 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr and Mr rd leonard  
 6086 Miller Rd  
 Girard, PA 16417-7808  
 (814) 774-0781

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sarah Selph [all4myselph@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Sarah Selph  
 520 Evergreen Ln  
 Upper Chichester, PA 19014-2549  
 (610) 485-4337

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Mary Davidson [familystories@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Mary Davidson  
 166 N Dithridge St Apt A  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2647  
 (412) 682-0975

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION



**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Christopher Croft [prince\_of\_antara@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Christopher Croft  
 93 Park Vallei Ln  
 Brookhaven, PA 19015-3323

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Elena Rippel [emmr88@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:18 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Elena Rippel  
 531 S Winebiddle St  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15224-2265  
 (412) 848-0700

RECEIVED

DEC 28 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Edward J. Rafferty [xstwd06@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:51 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Edward J. Rafferty  
 14 Noblewood Ln  
 Levittown, PA 19054-3409

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Paula Michal-Johnson [pam504@lehigh.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:51 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Dr Paula Michal-Johnson  
 819 N Lynn St  
 Fountain Hill, PA 18015-4005

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of David Sorkin [djsorkin@wisc.edu]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 2:51 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr David Sorkin  
 834 Chestnut St Apt 1528  
 Philadelphia, PA 19107-5147  
 (608) 204-9991

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Sarah Kolb [sjk100560@earthlink.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Sarah Kolb  
 7631 Leonard St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19152-4113  
 (215) 624-7836

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of David Adams [davenjean@zoominternet.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:48 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule does not assure me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A David Adams  
 267 High Acres Rd  
 Harmony, PA 16037-9526  
 (724) 453-1685

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Rose Cripps [c2274jr@zoominternet.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:21 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Rose Cripps  
 140 McCandless Rd  
 Slippery Rock, PA 16057-2312  
 (724) 794-6977

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION



**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of G. DeAnnuntis [gdeannuntis@aim.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:21 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A G. DeAnnuntis  
 5502 Houghton St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19128-2705

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Catherine Anderson [cathjanderson@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:20 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Catherine Anderson  
 2900 Schwirian Dr  
 Elizabeth, PA 15037-9550  
 (412) 302-7014

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Daniel Isenberg [daniel.isenberg@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Daniel Isenberg  
 1207 Zorba Dr Apt 10  
 Whitehall, PA 18052-6132

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REG'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Courtney Davis [cbd2nd@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Courtney Davis  
 2495 Thistle Rd  
 Macungie, PA 18062-8488  
 (610) 336-4147

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

2808

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Michael Wagner [nittanymike1@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Michael Wagner  
330 N 48th St Apt 4  
Harrisburg, PA 17111-3402  
111111111

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Ned Leight [nleight@enter.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:19 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Ned Leight  
 334 Madison Ave  
 Souderton, PA 18964-1862

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Gene Hillegass [cadzilla4x4@juno.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Gene Hillegass  
 12 Oriole Ln  
 Reading, PA 19606-8500  
 (610) 921-2167

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Suzanne Holler [sholl53@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Suzanne Holler  
 4221 Sheffield St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19136-3103



**Tate, Michele**

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Jeremy Styers [grabby15@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Jeremy Styers  
 722 Bellefonte Ave  
 # 3  
 Lock Haven, PA 17745-2443  
 (210) 842-4481

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Keely McCaskie [keelymccaskie@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Keely McCaskie  
 305 Tahoe Dr Apt J  
 Pittsburgh, PA 15239-2791

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Samantha Meers [gothicangel23200@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Samantha Meers  
 525 W 2nd St  
 Birdsboro, PA 19508-2115  
 (484) 942-8390

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of  
 Natasha Bloom [nbloom@hotmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Natasha Bloom  
 10674 Sunburst Dr Unit B  
 Waynesboro, PA 17268-8967  
 (860) 643-6533

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of  
Rebecca Glenn [rparker80@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Rebecca Glenn  
4570 Londonderry Rd Apt B92  
Harrisburg, PA 17109-5191

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Wayne Almond [walmond217@comcast.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Wayne Almond  
 408 Clymer Ave  
 Morrisville, PA 19067-2270  
 (215) 295-0618

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Kathryn A Feeney [feeney06@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Kathryn A Feeney  
 1501 Locust St Apt 504  
 Philadelphia, PA 19102-3701

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Frances Sawyer [fsawyer@ptd.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Frances Sawyer  
 1820 Bern St  
 Reading, PA 19604-1632  
 (610) 374-8134

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION



**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Rosemary Hoff [rocco4@windstream.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Rosemary Hoff  
 424 Alpine Village Dr  
 Monroeville, PA 15146-3736  
 (724) 327-1809

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Carol Paris [mssmprs@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs Carol Paris  
 1015 Jamestown Rd  
 Broomall, PA 19008-3412  
 (610) 356-6778

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Massimo Paris [mssmprs@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mr Massimo Paris  
 1015 Jamestown Rd  
 Broomall, PA 19008-3412  
 (610) 356-6778

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of E Smith [ivagator@verizon.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:49 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Mrs E Smith  
61 Fayette Ave  
Oakdale, PA 15071-1277  
(724) 693-9963

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Daniel Shertzer [dan.sr@shertzerlaw.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Daniel Shertzer  
 43 N Lime St  
 Lancaster, PA 17602-2950  
 (717) 393-3971

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
 REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Andrea Groppe [andreagroppe@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Andrea Groppe  
 661 Davis Ln  
 Wayne, PA 19087-5417  
 (610) 688-0154

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Seneca Green [fairyfalls55@gmail.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Seneca Green  
 21 Church St  
 Lititz, PA 17543-3037

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Katherine Oxenreiter [ko@oxenreiter.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Miss Katherine Oxenreiter  
820 Elm Spring Rd  
Pittsburgh, PA 15243-1006  
(412) 320-0025

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION



**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Bryn Richard [bryn\_r@ecologyfund.net]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

Ms Bryn Richard  
 552 Holmes Rd  
 Morton, PA 19070-1319  
 (610) 461-8728

RECEIVED

DEC 23 2009

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION

**Tate, Michele**

---

**From:** Sierra Club Membership Services [membership.services@sierraclub.org] on behalf of Helaine Greenberg [helainesho@aol.com]  
**Sent:** Monday, December 14, 2009 3:50 PM  
**To:** EP, RegComments  
**Subject:** RE: Beneficial Use of Coal Ash Proposed Rulemaking [25 PA. CODE CHS. 287 AND 290]

Dec 14, 2009

John Hanger

Dear Hanger,

Coal ash is filled with toxic chemicals and heavy metals. Pennsylvania

is the third largest US producer of this waste. We shouldn't allow this toxic substance anywhere near our drinking water, and this rule in no way assures me of that.

Coal combustion waste (CCW) is contaminating water sources across America including sites in Pennsylvania. Throughout the guidelines that have been proposed there are phrases like, "at the discretion of", "with department approval", or "if the Department chooses." These phrases leave significant loopholes in the guidelines and should be removed. Standards in the proposed Chapter 290 regulations must be enforceable.

This toxic coal ash should be sealed with the use of composite liners and placement guidelines that ensure isolation from groundwater. These sites should be monitored quarterly for at least thirty years after ash placement is finished.

The rules should require that pollutant levels are fully monitored surrounding the placement site. And if a monitoring point shows higher levels of contaminants than prior to ash placement it should trigger a requirement to investigate the causes of those increases.

Also financial assurance should be posted by operators before permits are issued and maintained throughout required monitoring at a site in amounts sufficient to monitor and abate pollution from the ash. And the public should be permitted to participate in the entire permitting process.

Sincerely,

N/A Helaine Greenberg  
 293 Locust St  
 Philadelphia, PA 19106-3913  
 (215) 922-5344

RECEIVED

DEC 23 REC'D

INDEPENDENT REGULATORY  
REVIEW COMMISSION